MARINE

HSE DEPARTMENT INJURY REPORT

1.	Crew:	140
2.	Client:	Woodside
3.	Division:	EAME Marine
4.	Type of Crew:	Multi-Streamer
5.	Vessel Name:	Western Pride
6.	Name of Reporter:	Lyall Cowin
7.	Date of Accident:	11/26/99
8.	Date of Report:	11/28/99
9.	Type of Report:	First Report

Classification of Injury: 10. Injury Classification: First Aid

Date Returned to Normal Duty: 11/26/99
 Estimated Date for Return to Normal Duties: 11/26/99

- 13. Lost Work Days: 0
- 14. Restricted Days: 0

Information on Injured Person:

-	First Last	
15. Name:	Kane Lochowicz	
16. Classification:	Subcontractor	
17. Employee I.D.:		
18. Hire Date:	11/11/99	
19. Department:	Deck	
20. Job Classification:	Able-bodied Seaman	
21. Years with Company:	0	
22. Years at Current Position:		
23. Days on Duty:	11-20 days since break	
24. Hours on Duty:	3-6 hours	
Accident Details:		
25. Accident Occurred During	Working Hours: Yes	
26. Time of Accident:	17:20	
27. Sea Conditions:	0	
(Beaufort Sea State)		
28. Weather Conditions:	0 : wind 1 knot	
(Beaufort Wind Speed) 29. Location:	Passageway	
30. Describe Specific Location		
Main deck starboard storm de		
31. Type of Transport Involved	d: None	
32. Were Seat Belts in Use:	N/A	
33. Type of Injury:	Caught in or between objects	
34. Treatment Received:	-	
Light massage and bandage		

35. Treatment By:

Glen Laurence - Chief Mate

- 36. Body Part Injured: Feet
- 37. Specific Body Part : Left ankle
- 38. Activity Engaged In:Walking
- 39. Was There Property Damage: No
- 40. Was the proper Personal Protective

Equipment (PPE) being worn?: Yes

41. Factual Description of Accident:

Kane stated that on 11-26-99 at 17:20 when entering the accomodation at the main deck, the storm door slammed shut due to the momentum and wedged his ankle between the door and the combing. His ankle sustained a hard blow and swelling was evident at the foot shortly after. He was assisted by I/R Adam Wray and notified the 2nd Mate who was on the scene seconds later.

42. Recommendation for Prevention of Recurrences: **A gas type door damper for prevention of slamming**

- 43. Was post-accident drug testing conducted?: No
 If yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted?
 Comments: No facilities
- 44. Was post-accident alcohol testing conducted?: NoIf yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted?Comments: No facilities

Risk Potential Matrix

The purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IMPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case scenario.

Environmental:N/AProperty Damage:N/AInjury:D3Company Reputation:D1

To select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of each rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. You MUST select a rating for each category. Select "Not Applicable" where necessary.

MARINE

HSE DEPARTMENT INJURY REPORT

	Crew: Client:	140 Woodside
	Division:	EAME Marine
4.	Type of Crew:	Multi-Streamer
5.	Vessel Name:	Western Pride
6 .	Name of Reporter:	Lyall Cowin
7.	Date of Accident:	11/25/99
	Date of Report:	11/26/99
9.	Type of Report:	First Report

Classification of Injury: 10. Injury Classification: First Aid Date Returned to Normal Duty: 11/25/99
 Estimated Date for Return to Normal Duties: 11/25/99

- 13. Lost Work Days: 0
- 14. Restricted Days: 0

Information on Injured Person:

2	First	Last	
15. Name:	Brad	Feist	
16. Classification:	WGC Employe	e	
17. Employee I.D.:			
18. Hire Date:	12/01/98		
19. Department:	Navigation		
20. Job Classification:	Navigator		
21. Years with Company:	1		
22. Years at Current Position:	1		
23. Days on Duty:	11-20 days sir	ice break	
24. Hours on Duty:	0-3 hours		
Accident Details:			
25. Accident Occurred During	Working Hours:	Yes	
26. Time of Accident:	18:45		
27. Sea Conditions:	3		
(Beaufort Sea State)			
28. Weather Conditions:	3 : wind 7	- 10 knots	
(Beaufort Wind Speed)			
29. Location:	Cable Dec	k	
30. Describe Specific Location	1:		
Cable deck aft.			
31. Type of Transport Involved			
32. Were Seat Belts in Use:	N/A		
33. Type of Injury:	Struck by	object	
34. Treatment Received:	alata		

Cold pack and 2 panadein tablets.

35. Treatment By:

Glen Laurence - Chief Mate

- 36. Body Part Injured: Head/Neck
- 37. Specific Body Part :

Upper lip, upper gum and nose

- 38. Activity Engaged In: Seismic Cable Handling
- 39. Was There Property Damage: No
- 40. Was the proper Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) being worn?: **Yes**
- 41. Factual Description of Accident:

Brad Feist stated that while he was working on the cable deck at 18:45 on 11-25-99 he and two other crew were taking a tagline off one of the D rings on cable #3. As the shackle pin was pulled out, the end of the tag line flicked across the top and caught him across the nose and mouth. The end of the tagline rope had a metal eye.

42. Recommendation for Prevention of Recurrences:

Personnel to be aware that the weight of ropes, thimbles and shackles may cause ropes to move suddenly when disconnected even when there is no tension on the rope.

No

- 43. Was post-accident drug testing conducted?:
 - If yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted? Comments:
- 44. Was post-accident alcohol testing conducted?: No
 If yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted?
 Comments: No facilities

Risk Potential Matrix

The purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IMPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case scenario.

Environmental:N/AProperty Damage:N/AInjury:C3Company Reputation:C1

To select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of each rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. You MUST select a rating for each category. Select "Not Applicable" where necessary.

MARINE

HSE DEPARTMENT INJURY REPORT

1.	Crew:	140
2.	Client:	Woodside
3.	Division:	EAME Marine
4.	Type of Crew:	Multi-Streamer
5.	Vessel Name:	Western Pride
6.	Name of Reporter:	Lyall Cowin
7.	Date of Accident:	11/16/99
8.	Date of Report:	11/17/99
9.	Type of Report:	First Report

Classification of Injury: 10. Injury Classification: First Aid Date Returned to Normal Duty: 11/16/99
 Estimated Date for Return to Normal Duties: 11/16/99

- 13. Lost Work Days: 0
- 14. Restricted Days: 0

Information on Injured Person:

	First Last		
15. Name:	Kevin Cane		
16. Classification:	WGC Employee		
17. Employee I.D.:	85359		
18. Hire Date:	05/09/91		
19. Department:	Navigation		
20. Job Classification:	Navigator		
21. Years with Company:	8.6		
22. Years at Current Position:	8		
23. Days on Duty:	21-30 days since break		
24. Hours on Duty:	0-3 hours		
Accident Details:			
25. Accident Occurred During	Working Hours: Yes		
26. Time of Accident:	14:00		
27. Sea Conditions:	4 : waves 2 - 4 ft.		
(Beaufort Sea State)			
28. Weather Conditions:	5 : wind 17 - 21 knots		
(Beaufort Wind Speed)	Cur Deck, Chester Check		
29. Location:	Gun Deck - Shooter Shack		
30. Describe Specific Location:			
Stairs on starboard side of gun deck leading to gun stores			
31. Type of Transport Involved	d: None		
32. Were Seat Belts in Use:	N/A		
33. Type of Injury:	Falling		
34. Treatment Received:	-		
Electic bandage englied			

Elastic bandage applied

35. Treatment By:

G. Laurence - Chief Mate

- 36. Body Part Injured: Legs
- 37. Specific Body Part : Left knee
- 38. Activity Engaged In: Descending
- 39. Was There Property Damage: No
- 40. Was the proper Personal Protective

Equipment (PPE) being worn?: Yes

41. Factual Description of Accident:

Kevin stated that as he was descending the stairs leading from the gun store area to the starboard side of the gun deck, the ship rolled causing him to slip down three or four steps before he arrested his fall by grabbing the hand rail. At the same time as he arrested his fall the heel of his left boot caught in a step and caused a strain injury to his left knee.

42. Recommendation for Prevention of Recurrences: **These stairs have very narrow steps and should be descended by walking backwards.**

43. Was post-accident drug testing conducted?: No

If yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted? Comments: **Not required**

44. Was post-accident alcohol testing conducted?: No

If yes, where was the drug test conducted? If no, why was the drug test not conducted? Comments: **Not required**

Risk Potential Matrix

The purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IMPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case scenario.

Environmental:N/AProperty Damage:N/AInjury:D2Company Reputation:D1

To select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of each rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. You MUST select a rating for each category. Select "Not Applicable" where necessary.

eported by:	Richard Dixon
cation:	Woodside Indian prospect - West Australia
ite:	11/25/99
ew No.:	140
ient:	Woodside
vision:	EAME Marine
fected Department:	Vessel
-	

Near Miss

escription:

We are a 72.9 m 2945 GRT Seismic survey vessel called 'Western Pride'. We currently have a Nav warning in the otice to Mariners advising that we are conducting Seismic operations in an area just north of North West Cape and are questing a 5 mile clearance from all vessels. The gear we are towing consists of 8 cables and 6 gun string extending oprox 3 miles behind us and half a mile out to each side and are extremely limited in our manoeuvrability when hooting". The gear being towed is conservatively estimated to be worth in excess of \$50 million.

ast night at approx 0010 whilst to the west of our patch after sorting out a little problem with a oil filter on the stbd engine e were heading 074° (T) and lining up our next line when we picked up a target on Radar at approx 22 miles on a illision course. This target was approaching from the North on a course of approx 200° (T) and at a speed of 14.5 knots. e already had a target on our stbd quarter, coming from the South, whom we had established voice communications on nannel 16, then channel 77 with, and he had agreed to and made an adjustment to his course to pass six miles astern of s.

espite attempts to contact the target to the north of us on Ch 16, Ch 12, HF 2182 and 4125, we had no success and he as still on a collision course 9 miles off with a CPA of 0.1 in approx 48 minutes. At this stage I called the Co-ordinator in man in charge of the seismic side of operations) to the bridge and advised him of a developing close quarters suation. We could clearly see the steaming lights (in line) of the approaching vessel but no sidelights at this stage. We are showing the signals for a vessel towing and constrained in her ability to manoeuvre, our aft decks were extremely ell lit and we would have been very visible to anyone from a great distance.

ne vessel continued on its steady course approaching us from four points on our port bow so she was clearly the giving ay vessel. I then fired two white flares in her direction and continued calling him on VHF and HF. I passed information garding our situation just in case they could hear but not reply.

ter the two flares he made a small alteration to 210° (T) which put him passing inside our 5 mile safety zone but passing tween us and the other vessel which meant he would clear our gear by about a mile but pass less than two miles from e other vessel.

ne approaching vessel maintained this course and speed and I regarded the developing situation as a serious close larters situation. I fired two more white flares in his direction and continued calling him on VHF & HF but no response. this time I altered my course to 110° (T) and rang the engine room and informed them I was increasing the pitch to aximum (90%) to increase the passing distance.

his temporarily increased the clearing distance but then the approaching vessel altered 5° to port and thus maintained e narrow 1 mile clearance from our seismic gear. I continued to call him on VHF and HF until he was within 5 miles, ing a further two white flares in his direction.

it was a full moon and nearly as bright as day I then realised from the silhouette of the vessel that he was almost rtainly a Navy warship. His steaming lights had very little horizontal separation and he had an all round white light right t. There were no port hole light or deck lights as if he was blacked out except for his nav lights.

e bit our finger nails and hoped he would not do anything silly as there was little else I could do to improve the situation. the end he actually passed 3.8' from us, our tailbuoys have radar reflectors on them as well as very bright lights so he

ould have been able to see them easily. His exact position at 0123 LMT was 21° 36' S 113° 15.5'E on a course of 200°) speed 14.5 knots.

this vessel was a Merchant ship from his AUSREP reports it may be possible to find out who he was.

it was a Naval vessel (which I'm almost certain of) heading for Cockburn Sound perhaps

Enquires could be made to improve communications between Naval and Merchant ships Enquires could be made as to the vessels direct contravention of the International Collision regs We could ask does scaring years off my life expectancy constitute practising to defend our nation?

chard Dixon aster R/V Western Pride.

ect all appropriate factors in the categories below which best fit the incident/observation.

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Not Applicable

Equipment and/or Tools

Not Applicable

Procedures Not Applicable

Housekeeping Not Applicable

Training Not Applicable

Other Actions by 3rd Parties

OP HERE IF YOU ARE REPORTING AN <u>OBSERVATION</u>! DO NOT ENTER THE INFORMATION BELOW.

mediate action taken to improve the situation.

As descibed in description - Description is report sent to MOPS Canberra

nal corrective action taken to prevent a similar recurrence. MOPS Canberra have informed Master they are investigating the incident.

by was the incident communicated to the other crew members. By posting this notice

arget date to complete improvement:

isk Potential Matrix

ne purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case enario.

vironmental: N/A operty Damage: D3 jury: N/A

ompany Reputation: D1

select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of ach rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. Select a ting category that applies to the incident being reported. Select "Not Applicable" (N/A) for the categories that do not a oply.

Ported by:Lyall CowinDocation:Gun deckate:11/15/99rew No.:140ient:Woodsidevision:EAME Marinefected Department:Crew

Unsafe Act

escription:

A crew member was on the forward gun deck area with open toe footwear

ect all appropriate factors in the categories below which best fit the incident/observation.

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

PPE not used

Equipment and/or Tools

Not Applicable

Procedures Procedures in place but not followed

Housekeeping Not Applicable

Training Not Applicable

Other Not Applicable

OP HERE IF YOU ARE REPORTING AN <u>OBSERVATION</u>! DO NOT ENTER THE INFORMATION BELOW.

mediate action taken to improve the situation.

Person reminded of footwear policy

- nal corrective action taken to prevent a similar recurrence. Will be discussed at next crew HSE meeting
- **bw was the incident communicated to the other crew members.** By posting this report

arget date to complete improvement: 11/30/99

isk Potential Matrix

ne purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case enario.

vironmental:	N/A
operty Damage:	N/A
jury:	B1
moony Population:	D1

ompany Reputation: **B1**

select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of ach rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. Select a ting category that applies to the incident being reported. Select "Not Applicable" (N/A) for the categories that do not a oply.

Ported by:Gary Birkett>cation:Vesselate:11/28/99rew No.:140ient:Woodsidevision:EAME Marinefected Department:All

Unsafe Condition

escription:

Project job book (Project Plan) not signed by crew members. New crew members are not aware of this document nich should be read and signed in the mess room.

ect all appropriate factors in the categories below which best fit the incident/observation.

- **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**
- **Equipment and/or Tools**

Procedures Procedures in place but not followed

Housekeeping

Training

Other

OP HERE IF YOU ARE REPORTING AN <u>OBSERVATION</u>! DO NOT ENTER THE INFORMATION BELOW.

imediate action taken to improve the situation.

Inform heads of departments that having new crew read project plan is part of departmental orientation.

nal corrective action taken to prevent a similar recurrence.

by was the incident communicated to the other crew members. By e-mail and posting this notice.

arget date to complete improvement: 12/16/99

isk Potential Matrix

ne purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case enario.

vironmental: C1 operty Damage: C1

jury: C1

ompany Reputation: C1

Select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of ach rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. Select a ting category that applies to the incident being reported. Select "Not Applicable" (N/A) for the categories that do not a oply.

eported by:	Gary Birkett
ocation:	Landing on stairs between cable deck and gun deck
ate:	11/28/99
rew No.:	140
ient:	Woodside
vision:	EAME Marine
fected Department:	Seismic

Unsafe Condition

escription:

Aft stairs from cable deck to gun deck, mid landing between flights. here is a raised lip between core decking which is not obvious. There is a danger of a crew member tripping and being opelled over the guard rail at the stern. This lip should be painted red until it can be removed.

ect all appropriate factors in the categories below which best fit the incident/observation.

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

- **Equipment and/or Tools**
 - Not suitable for task
- **Procedures**
- Housekeeping
- Training
- Other

OP HERE IF YOU ARE REPORTING AN <u>OBSERVATION</u>! DO NOT ENTER THE INFORMATION BELOW.

mediate action taken to improve the situation.

Inform marine crew.

nal corrective action taken to prevent a similar recurrence.

by was the incident communicated to the other crew members. By posting this report

arget date to complete improvement: 12/16/99

isk Potential Matrix

ne purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case enario.

vironmental:N/Aoperty Damage:N/Ajury:D2ompany Reputation:D2

select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of ach rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. Select a ting category that applies to the incident being reported. Select "Not Applicable" (N/A) for the categories that do not a oply.

ARINE

HSE DEPARTMENT OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS REPORT

Crew:	140
Client:	Woodside
Division:	EAME Marine
Type of Crew:	Multi-Streamer
Vessel Name:	Western Pride
Name of Reporter:	Lyall Cowin
Date of Illness:	11/20/99
Date of Report:	11/20/99
Type of Report :	First Report

formation on Person:

	<u>First</u>	Last
). Name:	Larry	Dusolt
. Classification:	WGC Empl	oyee
2. Employee I.D.:	84704	
3. Hire Date:	03/24/97	
I. Department:	Recording	
5. Job Classification:	Technician	
3. Years with Company:	2.7	
'. Years with Current position:	2	
3. Days on Duty:	11-20 days	since break
). Number of Hours on Duty:	1-3 Hours	
). Date Returned to Work:	11/20/99	
. Estimated Date for Return to No	ormal Duties:	11/20/99
2. Lost Illness Days:	0	

ness Details:

- 3. Location: On board
- I. Specific Location Where Illness Was Contracted:
- ot known

 5. Type of Illness: 6. Specific Type of Illness: 7. Treatment Received: 	Other Suspected malaria relapse 4 x 250mg chloroquine tablets at once followed by 2 every 12 hours
r 36 hours	
3. Treatment By:	Glen Turner - Chief Mate
). Body Part or System Affected by Illness:	Other
). Source of Illness:	Other
. Factual Description of Illness:	

Irry complained of shivering, sweating and headaches. His temp was 37.4, BP 141/79, pulse 105.
Fold the Chief Mate he contracted malaria 12 years ago and has since had approx.7 to 8 relapses, the most cent relapse occurred 12 months ago.

The Chief Mate obtained medical advice from IMC (Dr Carson Lo). The diagnoses was suspected vivax malaria. The eatment presribed was 4 x 250mg chloroquine tablets at once followed by 2 every 12 hours for 36 hours. IMC structed The Chief Mate to call them if Larry does not improve within 12 hours. He may have to be sent ashore there is no improvement. the time of this illness report there is a viral infection on the crew which is causing sore throat, running nose, adaches and aching joints to several crew members.

2. Recommendation for Prevention of Recurrences :

isk Potential Matrix

ne purpose of these matrices is to identify POTENTIALLY serious incidents so they can be investigated thoroughly.

IPORTANT: When rating the incident, select the worst PROBABLE result, not the worst IMAGINABLE or worst case enario.

Nvironmental:N/Aoperty Damage:N/Ajury:B4ompany Reputation:B2

select the risk potential ratings for each category, press Enter and choose ONE entry from the list. For a description of ach rating, click on the "Estimating Risk Severity and Level of Exposure" button to view the risk potential matrix. You UST select a rating for each category. Select "Not Applicable" where necessary.